	U	NITED STAT	TES DISTRI	CT	Court	17
	Eastern		District of		Michigan	1-
UN	NITED STATES OF AM					<del></del>
Affre	V.  Defendant		ORDEI Case Numb		DETENTION PENDING TR	IAL
In accord detention of t	-	ct, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a this case.	a detention hearing has	s been l	neld. I conclude that the following facts re	quire the
		Part I-	-Findings of Fact			
	defendant is charged with an ocal offense that would have be a crime of violence as defined an offense for which the maxim an offense for which a maxim	offense described in 18 een a federal offense if a l in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a) mum sentence is life im	U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and circumstance giving 1 (4).	rise to t	peen convicted of a federal offense- federal jurisdiction had existed - that is cribed in	- State-
	a felony that was committed a	fter the defendant had be	een convicted of two o	r more	prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S	·*
(2) The (3) A per for th	offense described in finding () riod of not more than five yea to offense described in finding	trable state or local offer  I) was committed while  rs has elapsed since the  g(1).  clish a rebuttable presure	uses. the defendant was on a date of conviction	release	pending trial for a federal, state or local of release of the defendant from imprisonm	ffense. ent
		Altern	ative Findings (A)		artico according processing according	
(1) There	is probable cause to believe to	that the defendant has co	munitted an offense			
	or which a maximum term of inder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					·
(2) The de	efendant has not rebutted the p	presumption established	by finding 1 that no co	ndition	or combination of conditions will reasona	bly assure
αις αρ	pearance of the defendant as	required and the safety	of the community.			
(1) There	is a serious risk that the defer	Altern Adant will not sooned	ative Findings (B)			
(2) There	is a serious risk that the defer	idant will endanger the	safety of another perso	on or th	e community.	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
·						
						<del></del>
<del></del>						
T <b>C</b> - J 41-4 41	Pa	ırt II—Written State	ement of Reasons f	or De	tention	
derance of the ev	e credible testimony and info idence that	rmation submitted at th	e hearing establishes l	by /	clear and convincing evidence a	prepon-
-				<u>,                                      </u>		
<del></del>						
<del></del>			<del></del>			
			,			
		Part III—Directi	ons Regarding De	tentio	n	
reasonable opport Government, the p in connection with	tunity for private consultation	of the Attorney General ng or serving sentences on with defense course	or his designated repre- or being held in cus	esentat stody p	ive for confinement in a corrections facility ending appeal. The defendant shall be a United States or on request of an attorned States marshal for the purpose of an appearance.	afforded a
	Date		V-200C	Signati	re of Judge	
					dge Mona K. Majzoub	
			Na	me and	Title of Judge	

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## Alfred Eugene Horn Order of Detention

Defendant's term of supervised release commenced in this Court on 3/28/12. As a mandatory condition of that supervised release he was "not to commit another federal, state of local crime".

On May 17, 2013 Defendant was allegedly involved in new criminal activity with a firearm. Specifically, and according to the police reports, at 2:04 a.m. he was at the Studio 51 Nightclub on 1995 Woodbridge Street in Detroit when he got into a fight with another patron. It appears that alcohol may have been an issue. He went to his car which was parked just outside the club and returned to the club with a semi-automatic handgun. It is reported that Defendant fired the handgun several times, striking three people with his bullets. Defendant was restrained by the club security staff until the police arrived. He was arrested and subsequently charged with three counts of Assault With Intent to Murder. He has remained in the Wayne County Jail on a \$500,00 cash/surety bond since his initial arrest.

After Defendant was taken into custody, an arrest warrant was issued in this court for violation of his supervised release. At the state court Preliminary Examination hearing, a witness failed to appear and the charges were dismissed. The charges were re-issued on June 20, 2013 according to the Wayne County Prosecutor assigned to the case. However, the warrant is not currently in the lien system.

Defendant seeks a bond arguing that the facts are complicated and that Defendant did not shoot one of the victims. The Government seeks detention based upon the Defendant's danger to the community. A hearing is scheduled to proceed on the violation matter before Judge Steeh on August 20, 2013.

Notwithstanding the confusion over the issue of whether the case has been reinstated in the state court system, and why the warrant is not in the lien system at this time, the fact remains that Defendant was under the supervision of this Court when he was arrested and charged with a crime of violence, Assault with Intent to Murder, involving the use of a semi-automatic handgun, and possibly alcohol, in the shooting of three adults at a night club in the city of Detroit. This is tantamount to violent and dangerous behavior which puts the community at risk.

The police report and this record present clear and convincing evidence that Defendant is a danger to the community and that there is no condition or combination of conditions that would assure the safety of the community. Therefore Detention is Ordered.